

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

#7

Tre application of Terry Covert

Application No. 09/003,918

Filed: July 12, 2001

Attorney Docket No. COVERT001

Title: METHOD, APPARATUS AND SYSTEM FOR PRICING AND SELLING

FAMILY PROTECTOR INSURANCE

Mailed with certificate of mailing on July 6, 2004

RENEWED PETITION UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(a)

RECEIVED

Commissioner for Patents

Mail Stop Petition to have a compared to the second second

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 generally supply the example of the OFFICE OF PETITIONS

Dear Sir:

In response to the DECISION DISMISSING PETITION mailed by the Office of Petitions on May 10, 2004, please accept this RENEWED PETITION UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(a), and reconsider this matter. In support of the renewed petition please consider the following additional information.

- On August 30, 2001, the Office mailed a NOTICE TO FILE CORRECTED
 APPLICATION PAPERS. A shortened two-month period for reply was set.
- 2. On October 18, 2001, a PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT was filed which directly responded to the NOTICE TO FILE CORRECTED APPLICATION

PAPERS. This PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT was mailed by Attorney Eugene R. Quinn, Jr. on October 18, 2001, together with a certificate of mailing pursuant to 37 CFR 1.8. The certificate of mailing, which was signed by Attorney Quinn, can be found at the bottom of the TRANSMITTAL FORM used as a cover page for the PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT.

- 3. On January 30, 2004, the Office mailed a courtesy Notice of Abandonment.
- As recognized in the DECISION DISMISSING PETITION (dated May 10, 2004), the instant petition was filed within a few months of the mailing of the abovementioned courtesy Notice of Abandonment.
- 5. Although the instant petition was originally filed more than two years after the Office abandoned the application, the petition was filed promptly and in compliance with the requirements of Title 37 of the Code of Federal Regulations.
- 6. The application should not have been abandoned because the PRELIMINARY AMDENDMENT filed on October 18, 2001, was timely and fully responsive.
- 7. It is believed that the anthrax scare during the Fall 2001 may have contributed to the Office having no record of the PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT.
- 8. Neither the applicant nor Attorney Quinn believed there to be anything out of the ordinary in not receiving an Office Action. It is well known in the industry that with business method applications the Office takes over three (3) years to issue a First Office Action. Therefore, it did not seem out of the ordinary that a First Office Action had not been issued. Therefore, the two-

plus year delay in filing the instant petition did not evidence any intention on the part of the applicant to abandon the application. Furthermore, the two-plus year delay was not unreasonable. To the contrary, given the backlog of business method applications even a two-plus year delay should be considered prompt because there was no reason for the applicant to question whether the application was still pending, particularly where the applicant had timely and completely responded to the NOTICE TO FILE CORRECTED

APPLICATION PAPERS.

WHEREFORE, the applicant respectfully requests that the Office reconsider the DECISION DISMISSING PETITION dated May 10, 2004.

Respectfully submitted by:

Eugene R. Quinn, Jr. (Reg. No. 44,294

Phone: 315-443-4609

DATE:__

ander the Penerwork Republican Act of 1995, no. of

PTO/SB/92 (08-03)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0561-0031
Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

ction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number.

RECEIVED

Certificate of Mailing under 37 CFR 1.8 JUL 1 2 2004

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

ATTN: NANCY JOHNSON

on 07/06/04 .

Signature

EUGENE R. QUINN, TR

Typed or printed name of person signing Certificate

Note: Each paper must have its own certificate of mailing, or this certificate must identify each submitted paper.